



#10
LB
1-17-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Noriyoshi SONETAKA

Appln. No.: 09/298,910

Confirmation No.: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: April 26, 1999

For: RADIO ACCESS SYSTEM WITH MEANS FOR DECIDING WHETHER FINAL
DIGIT OF TELEPHONE NUMBER HAS BEEN PUSHED OR NOT

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2002

Technology Center 2600

Group Art Unit: 2681

Examiner: Lewis G. West

**REQUEST FOR WRITTEN EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY
AND VERIFICATION OF RESET DATE TO RESPOND
TO THE OCTOBER 10, 2001 OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests a written interview summary confirming the telephone conferences held on November 6, 2001, November 7, 2001, November 29, 2001, December 19, 2001, and January 2, 2001. It is respectfully requested that the interview summary confirm the reset response date for the October 10, 2001 Office Action.

The undersigned spoke to the Examiner on November 6, 2001, informing him that the Office Action dated October 10, 2001, was incomplete because Berry et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,676) (hereinafter "Berry"), prior art relied upon by the Examiner in rejecting all of the claims, was missing pages. During this conversation, the undersigned requested that the period for response be reset according to M.P.E.P. § 710.06.

REQUEST FOR WRITTEN EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY
AND VERIFICATION OF RESET DATE TO RESPOND
TO THE OCTOBER 10, 2001 OFFICE ACTION
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/298,910

The Examiner telephoned the undersigned on November 7, 2001 and stated that Applicant's request for a reset in the period for response would be granted, and that the period for response would be reset to some future date when the Patent Office formally mails a complete copy of Berry.

On November 28, 2001, the Examiner faxed the undersigned a complete copy of Berry. On the cover sheet accompanying this facsimile, the Examiner wrote that the Applicant's "time has been reset." On November 29, 2001, the Examiner informed the undersigned in a telephonic conference that the period for response would not be reset based on the November 28, 2001 facsimile, but would still be reset to some future date when the Patent Office formally mails a complete copy of Berry.

In another telephonic conference on December 19, 2001, the Examiner informed the undersigned that the Patent Office had mailed the reference on November 14, 2001, and that the period for response had been reset based on that mailing date. The undersigned informed the Examiner that the Applicant had not received the mailing described by the Examiner and requested a written Interview Summary detailing the substance of the foregoing telephonic interviews. The Examiner responded to this request in another telephonic interview on January 2, 2002.

In the January 2, 2002, telephonic interview, the Examiner informed the undersigned that the statements made during the December 19, 2001, telephonic interview were incorrect due to a

REQUEST FOR WRITTEN EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY
AND VERIFICATION OF RESET DATE TO RESPOND
TO THE OCTOBER 10, 2001 OFFICE ACTION
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/298,910

computer error. The Examiner explained that the Patent Office had, in fact, not yet mailed a complete copy of Berry, that this would still be done at some future date, and that the period for response would be reset based on that future mailing date.

Applicant currently awaits receipt of the above described Patent Office mailing to remedy the deficiency in the Office Action dated October 10, 2001.

In view of the foregoing, the record is entirely unclear regarding the three month response date. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests a written Interview Summary of the above-described telephonic interviews.

If the Examiner believes that any of Applicants statements are incorrect, Applicant requests that the Examiner inform the Applicant in writing.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Woodall
Registration No. 43,286

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860
Date: January 14, 2002